

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE ANTONIO AGUILAR
JARAMILLO,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SAN MATEO, MICHAEL
LEISHMAN, ANTONIO MONTOJO,

Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-00441-NC](#)

**PRELIMINARY JURY
INSTRUCTIONS**

I. INTRODUCTION

Ladies and gentlemen: You are now the jury in this case. It is my duty to instruct you on the law.

These instructions are preliminary instructions to help you understand the principles that apply to civil trials and to help you understand the evidence as you listen to it. You will be allowed to keep this set throughout the trial to which to refer. This set of instructions is not to be taken home and must remain in the jury room when you leave in the evenings. At the end of the trial, I will give you a final set of instructions. It is the final set of instructions which will govern your deliberations.

You must not infer from these instructions or from anything I may say or do as indicating that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be.

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you

Case No.: [13-cv-00441-NC](#)

1 will apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether
2 you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes,
3 opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the
4 evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so.

5 In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some
6 and ignore others; they are all important.

7 **II. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMS IN THIS CASE**

8 To help you follow the evidence, I will give you a brief summary of the claims at
9 issue in this case.

10 This is a civil case of alleged excessive use of force by San Mateo Police
11 Department officers. It stems from an incident that occurred on the evening of March 13,
12 2012, in the City of San Mateo. The person bringing the case, sometimes referred to as the
13 plaintiff, is Jose Antonio Aguilar Jaramillo. The defendants are the City of San Mateo, and
14 San Mateo police officers Michael Leishman and Antonio Montojo.

15 The primary issues to be decided in this case are: (1) Whether the officers used
16 excessive force in Jaramillo's apprehension and arrest; (2) whether the officers committed
17 assault and battery on Jaramillo; (3) whether the officers intentionally inflicted emotional
18 distress on Jaramillo; (4) whether the officers were negligent in the application of force to
19 apprehend and arrest Jaramillo; (5) whether defendants' acts caused injuries to Jaramillo,
20 and if so; (6) how Jaramillo should be compensated; and (7) whether the City of San
21 Mateo should be liable for the acts of the defendant police officers.

22 **III. BURDEN OF PROOF**

23 When a party has the burden of proof on any claim or affirmative defense by a
24 preponderance of the evidence, it means you must be persuaded by the evidence that the
25 claim or affirmative defense is more probably true than not true.

26 You should base your decision on all of the evidence, regardless of which party
27 presented it.
28

IV. EVIDENCE

A. Evidence You May Consider

The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of:

- (1) the sworn testimony of any witness;
- (2) the exhibits which are received into evidence; and
- (3) any facts to which the lawyers have agreed.

B. Things You May Not Consider

In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you:

(1) Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, closing arguments, and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.

(2) Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it.

(3) Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition sometimes testimony and exhibits are received only for a limited purpose; when I give a limiting instruction, you must follow it.

(4) Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

C. Types of Evidence

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another

fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

D. Evidence for a Limited Purpose

Some evidence may be admitted for a limited purpose only.

When I instruct you that an item of evidence has been admitted for a limited purpose, you must consider it only for that limited purpose and for no other.

E. The Court's Ruling on Objections

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered, and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer might have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard.

V. WITNESSES

A. Evaluation of Witness Testimony

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it. Proof of a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

(1) the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to;

(2) the witness's memory;

- (3) the witness's manner while testifying;
- (4) the witness's interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice;
- (5) whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony;
- (6) the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and
- (7) any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

B. Expert Witnesses

Some witnesses, because of education or experience, are permitted to state opinions and the reasons for those opinions.

Opinion testimony should be judged just like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and experience, the reasons given for the opinion, and all the other evidence in the case.

VI. CONDUCT OF THE JURY

A. Prohibitions on Your Activities

I will now say a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, keep an open mind throughout the trial, and do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations at the end of the case.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence received in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information about the case or to the issues it involves during the course of your jury duty. Thus, until the end of the case or unless I tell you otherwise:

Do not communicate with anyone in any way and do not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or anything to do with it. This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone or electronic means, via e-mail, text messaging, or any Internet chat room, blog, Web site or other feature. This

1 applies to communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberation,
2 and it applies to communicating with everyone else including your family members, your
3 employer, the media or press, and the people involved in the trial, although you may notify
4 your family and your employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case. But, if you
5 are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case,
6 you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter and to report the
7 contact to the court.

8 Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may
9 consider to return a verdict: do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or
10 commentary about the case or anything to do with it; do not do any research, such as
11 consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet or using other reference materials; and do
12 not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn about the case on your own.

13 The law requires these restrictions to ensure the parties have a fair trial based on the
14 same evidence that each party has had an opportunity to address. A juror who violates
15 these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings, and a mistrial could result
16 that would require the entire trial process to start over. If any juror is exposed to any
17 outside information, please notify the court immediately.

18 **B. Taking Notes**

19 During deliberations, you will have to make your decision based on what you recall
20 of the evidence. You will not have a transcript of the trial. I urge you to pay close
21 attention to the testimony as it is given.

22 If at any time you cannot hear or see the testimony, evidence, questions or
23 arguments, let me know so that I can correct the problem.

24 If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do take
25 notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to
26 decide the case. Do not let note-taking distract you. When you leave, your notes should
27 be left in the jury room. No one will read your notes. They will be destroyed at the
28 conclusion of the case.

1 Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your own memory of the
2 evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by
3 your notes or those of your fellow jurors.

4 **C. Questions to Witnesses**

5 You will be allowed to propose written questions to witnesses after the lawyers
6 have completed their questioning of each witness. You may propose questions in order to
7 clarify the testimony, but you are not to express any opinion about the testimony or argue
8 with a witness. If you propose any questions, remember that your role is that of a neutral
9 fact finder, not an advocate.

10 Before I excuse each witness, I will offer you the opportunity to write out a question
11 on a form provided by the court. Do not sign the question. I will review the question with
12 the attorneys to determine if it is legally proper.

13 There are some proposed questions that I will not permit, or will not ask in the
14 wording submitted by the juror. This might happen either due to the rules of evidence or
15 other legal reasons, or because the question is expected to be answered later in the case. If
16 I do not ask a proposed question, or if I rephrase it, do not speculate as to the reasons. Do
17 not give undue weight to questions you or other jurors propose. You should evaluate the
18 answers to those questions in the same manner you evaluate all of the other evidence.

19 By giving you the opportunity to propose questions, I am not requesting or
20 suggesting that you do so. It will often be the case that a lawyer has not asked a question
21 because it is legally objectionable or because a later witness may be addressing that
22 subject.

23 **D. Bench Conferences and Recesses**

24 From time to time during the trial, it may become necessary for me to talk with the
25 attorneys out of the hearing of the jury, either by having a conference at the bench when
26 the jury is present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while
27 you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant
28 information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of

1 evidence and to avoid confusion and error.

2 Of course, we will do what we can to keep the number and length of these
3 conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference.
4 Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my
5 opinion of the case or of what your verdict should be.

6 **VII. TRIAL**

7 Trials proceed in the following way: First, each side may make an opening
8 statement. An opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you
9 understand what that party expects the evidence will show. A party is not required to
10 make an opening statement.

11 Jaramillo will then present evidence, and counsel for the City of San Mateo and the
12 police officers may cross-examine. Then the City and the officers may present evidence,
13 and counsel for Jaramillo may cross-examine. Finally, Jaramillo may present rebuttal
14 evidence.

15 After the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law that applies to
16 the case and the attorneys will make closing arguments.

17 After that, you will go to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict.

18
19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20
21 Dated: February 3, 2015

22 
23 NATHANAEL M. COUSINS
24 United States Magistrate Judge
25
26
27
28